(c) NEUTRAL BUOYANCY LABORATORY.—The Administrator is authorized to exercise an option to purchase, for not more than \$35,000,000, the Clear Lake Development Facility, containing the Sonny Carter Training Facility and the approximately 13.7 acre parcel of land on which it is located, using funds authorized by this Act.

SEC. 5. COORDINATION WITH SPACE SHUTTLE.

The Administrator shall—

(1) coordinate the engineering functions of the Space Shuttle program with the Space Station Program Office to minimize overlapping activities; and

(2) in the interest of safety and the successful integration of human spacecraft development with human spaceflight operations, maintain at one lead center the complementary capabilities of human spacecraft engineering and astronaut training.

SEC. 6. COMMERCIALIZATION OF SPACE STA-

(a) POLICY.—The Congress declares that a priority goal of constructing the International Space Station is the economic development of Earth orbital space. The Congress further declares that the use of free market principles in operating, allocating the use of, and adding capabilities to the Space Station, and the resulting fullest possible engagement of commercial providers and participation of commercial users, will reduce Space Station operational costs for all partners and the Federal Government's share of the United States burden to fund operations.

(b) REPORT.—The Administrator shall deliver to the Congress, within 60 days after the submission of the President's budget request for fiscal year 1997, a market study that examines the role of commercial ventures which could supply, use, service, or augment the International Space Station, the specific policies and initiatives the Administrator is advancing to encourage these commercial opportunities, the cost savings to be realized by the international partnership from applying commercial approaches to cost-shared operations, and the cost reimbursements to the United States Federal Government from commercial users of the Space Station.

SEC. 7. SENSE OF CONGRESS.

It is the sense of Congress that the "cost incentive fee" single prime contract negotiated by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration for the International Space Station, and the consolidation of programmatic and financial accountability into a single Space Station Program Office, are two examples of reforms for the reinvention of all National Aeronautics and Space Administration programs that should be applied as widely and as quickly as possible throughout the Nation's civil space program. SEC. 8. SPACE STATION ACCOUNTING REPORT.

Within one year after the date of enactment of this Act, and annually thereafter, the Administrator shall transmit to the Congress a report with a complete annual accounting of all costs of the space station, including cash and other payments to Russia.

The bill, as amended, was ordered to be engrossed and read a third time, was read a third time by title.

The question being put, viva voce, Will the House pass said bill?

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr. HEFLEY, announced that the yeas had it

So the bill was passed.

A motion to reconsider the vote whereby said bill was passed was, by unanimous consent, laid on the table.

Ordered, That the Clerk request the concurrence of the Senate in said bill.

¶122.9 PROVIDING FOR THE CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 1170

Mr. DREIER, by direction of the Committee on Rules, called up the following resolution (H. Res. 227):

Resolved, That at any time after the adoption of this resolution the Speaker may, pursuant to clause 1(b) of rule XXIII, declare the House resolved into the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union for consideration of the bill (H.R. 1170) to provide that cases challenging the constitutionality of measures passed by State referendum be heard by a 3-judge court. The first reading of the bill shall be dispensed with. General debate shall be confined to the bill and shall not exceed one hour equally divided and controlled by the chairman and ranking minority member of the Committee on the Judiciary. After general debate the bill shall be considered for amendment under the five-minute rule. It shall be in order to consider as an original bill for the purpose of amendment under the five-minute rule the amendment in the nature of a substitute recommended by the Committee on the Judiciary now printed in the bill. Each section of the committee amendment in the nature of a substitute shall be considered as read. During consideration of the bill for amendment, the Chairman of the Committee of the Whole may accord priority in recognition on the basis of whether the Member offering an amendment has caused it to be printed in the portion of the Congressional Record designated for that purpose in clause 6 of rule XXIII. Amendments so printed shall be considered as read. At the conclusion of consideration of the bill for amendment the Committee shall rise and report the bill to the House with such amendments as may have been adopted. Any Member may demand a separate vote in the House on any amendment adopted in the Committee of the Whole to the bill or to the committee amendment in the nature of a substitute. The previous question shall be considered as ordered on the bill and amendments thereto final passage without intervening motion except one motion to recommit with or without instruc-

When said resolution was considered. After debate,

On motion of Mr. DREIER, the previous question was ordered on the resolution to its adoption or rejection and under the operation thereof, the resolution was agreed to.

A motion to reconsider the vote whereby said resolution was agreed to was, by unanimous consent, laid on the table

¶122.10 ORDER OF BUSINESS— CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 1170

On motion of Mr. DREIER, by unanimous consent,

Ordered, That during consideration of the bill (H.R. 1170) to provide that cases challenging the constitutionality of measures passed by State referendum be heard by a 3-judge court, pursuant to House Resolution 227, the chairman of the Committee of the Whole may postpone until a time during further consideration in the Committee of the Whole a request for a recorded vote on any amendment, and that the chairman of the Committee of the Whole may reduce to not less than five minutes the time for voting by electronic device on any postponed question that immediately follows an-

other vote by electronic device without intervening business, provided that the time for voting by electronic device on the first in any series of questions shall be not less than fifteen minutes.

¶122.11 THREE-JUDGE COURT REVIEW FOR STATE REFERENDA

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr. HEFLEY, pursuant to House Resolution 227 and rule XXIII, declared the House resolved into the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union for the consideration of the bill (H.R. 1170) to provide that cases challenging the constitutionality of measures passed by State referendum be heard by a 3-judge court.

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr. HEFLEY, by unanimous consent, designated Mr. EWING as Chairman of the Committee of the Whole; and after some time spent therein,

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr. DREIER, assumed the Chair.

When Mr. EWING, Chairman, reported that the Committee, having had under consideration said bill, had come to no resolution thereon.

¶122.12 RECESS—1:59 P.M.

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr. DREIER, pursuant to clause 12 of rule I, declared the House in recess at 1 o'clock and 59 minutes p.m., subject to the call of the Chair until 3:00 p.m.

¶122.13 AFTER RECESS—3:02 P.M.

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr. RIGGS, called the House to order.

¶122.14 THREE-JUDGE COURT REVIEW FOR STATE REFERENDA

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr. RIGGS, pursuant to House Resolution 227 and rule XXIII, declared the House resolved into the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union for the further consideration of the bill (H.R. 1170) to provide that cases challenging the constitutionality of measures passed by State referendum be heard by a 3-judge court.

Mr. EWING, Chairman of the Committee of the Whole, resumed the chair.

¶122.15 RECORDED VOTE

A recorded vote by electronic device was ordered in the Committee of the Whole on the following amendment submitted by Mrs. SCHROEDER:

In the first sentence of section 1, strike "Any application" and insert "(a) GENERAL RULE.—Subject to subsection (b), any application".

Add the following at the end of section 1:

- (b) APPLICABILITY.—Subsection (a) applies only to—
- (I) any case filed in a judicial district, or a division in a judicial district, that has only 1 sitting judge; and
- (2) any case that is filed in a judicial district with more than 1 sitting judge but is assigned to a judge in any manner other than on a random basis only.

It was decided in the negative			Hansen McHugh Schiff Hastert McInnis Seastrand Hastings (WA) McIntosh Sensenbrenner			SEC. 2. DEFINITIONS. As used in this Act—		
$\P 122.16$	[Roll No. 692]	1	Hayes	McKeon	Shadegg			ans each of the ict of Columbia;
∥122.10	-	I	Hayworth Hefley	McNulty	Shaw			means the con-
	AYES—177		Heiney Heineman	Metcalf Meyers	Shays Shuster			y statute, ordi-
Abercrombie Ackerman	Gephardt Gibbons	Murtha Nadler	Herger	Mica	Sisisky			ther measure of
Baldacci	Gonzalez	Neal	Hilleary	Miller (FL)	Skeen			of law, and any
Barcia	Green	Oberstar	Hobson Hoekstra	Molinari Montgomery	Smith (MI) Smith (NJ)	amendment t		
Barrett (WI)	Gutierrez	Obey	Hoke	Moorhead	Smith (TX)			means the sub-
Becerra Beilenson	Hall (OH) Hamilton	Ortiz Owens	Horn	Morella	Smith (WA)			measure passed ative body or by
Bentsen	Harman	Pallone	Hostettler Hunter	Myers Myrick	Solomon Souder	popular initia		active body of by
Berman	Hastings (FL)	Pastor	Hutchinson	Nethercutt	Spence	SEC. 3. EFFECT		
Bevill Bishop	Hefner Hilliard	Payne (NJ) Payne (VA)	Hyde	Neumann	Stearns			plication for an
Bonior	Hinchey	Pelosi	Inglis	Ney	Stenholm			or after the date
Borski	Holden	Peterson (FL)	Istook Johnson (CT)	Norwood Nussle	Stockman Stump		nent of this Act.	
Boucher	Houghton	Pickett	Johnson, Sam	Orton	Talent	The bill.	as amended v	was ordered to
Browder Brown (CA)	Hoyer Jackson-Lee	Pomeroy Poshard	Jones	Oxley	Tate			hird time, was
Brown (FL)	Jacobs	Rahall	Kasich Kelly	Packard Parker	Tauzin Taylor (MS)	0	l time by title	
Brown (OH)	Jefferson	Rangel	Kim	Paxon	Taylor (NC)		tion being put,	
Bryant (TX) Cardin	Johnson (SD) Johnson, E. B.	Reed Richardson	King	Peterson (MN)	Thomas		House pass said	
Chapman	Johnston	Rivers	Kingston	Petri	Thornberry			manded a re-
Clay	Kanjorski	Rose	Klug Knollenberg	Pombo Porter	Thornton Tiahrt			of said bill,
Clayton	Kaptur	Roybal-Allard	Kolbe	Portman	Traficant			orted by one-
Clement Clyburn	Kennedy (MA) Kennedy (RI)	Rush Sabo	LaHood	Pryce	Upton			recorded vote
Coleman	Kennelly	Sanders	Largent	Quillen	Vucanovich	was ordered		recorded vote
Collins (MI)	Kildee	Sawyer	Latham LaTourette	Quinn Radanovich	Waldholtz Walker			electronic de-
Costello	Kleczka	Schroeder	Laughlin	Ramstad	Walsh	vice.	was taken by	cicciionic uc-
Coyne Cramer	Klink LaFalce	Schumer Scott	Lazio	Regula	Wamp		ا مطعمد لمملد د	V
Danner	Lantos	Serrano	Leach	Riggs	Watts (OK)			Yeas 266 Nays 159
de la Garza	Levin	Skaggs	Lewis (CA) Lewis (KY)	Roberts Roemer	Weldon (FL) Weldon (PA)	ammative	[11ays 133
DeFazio DeLauro	Lewis (GA)	Skelton	Lightfoot	Rogers	Weller	$\P 122.17$	[Roll No. 693]	
DeLauro Dellums	Lincoln Lipinski	Slaughter Spratt	Linder	Rohrabacher	White		AYES—266	
Deutsch	Lofgren	Stark	Livingston	Ros-Lehtinen Roth	Whitfield Wicker	Allard	Cubin	Handan
Dicks	Lowey	Stokes	LoBiondo Longley	Roukema	Wilson	Andrews	Cunningham	Herger Hilleary
Dingell	Luther	Studds	Lucas	Royce	Wolf	Archer	Danner	Hobson
Dixon Doggett	Maloney Manton	Stupak Tanner	Manzullo	Salmon	Young (AK)	Armey	Davis	Hoekstra
Dooley	Markey	Thompson	Martini McCollum	Sanford Saxton	Young (FL) Zeliff	Bachus Baesler	Deal DeLay	Hoke Horn
Doyle	Martinez	Thurman	McCrery	Scarborough	Zimmer	Baker (CA)	Diaz-Balart	Hostettler
Durbin Edwards	Mascara Matsui	Torres Torricelli	McDade	Schaefer		Baker (LA)	Dickey	Houghton
Engel	McCarthy	Towns	NOT VOTING—9		_9	Ballenger	Dooley	Hunter
Eshoo	McDermott	Velazquez	Bateman	Duncan	Tejeda	Barcia Barr	Doolittle Dornan	Hutchinson Hyde
Evans	McHale	Vento	Collins (IL)	Olver	Torkildsen	Barrett (NE)	Dreier	Inglis
Farr Fattah	McKinney Meehan	Visclosky Volkmer	Conyers	Reynolds	Tucker	Bartlett	Duncan	Istook
Fazio	Meek	Ward	So the am	endment was	not agreed to.	Barton Bass	Dunn Ehlers	Johnson (CT) Johnson, Sam
Fields (LA)	Menendez	Waters	After some further time,			Bateman	Ehrlich	Jones
Filner Flake	Mfume Miller (CA)	Watt (NC) Waxman	The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr.			Bereuter	Emerson	Kasich
Foglietta	Mineta	Williams	HEFLEY, resumed the Chair.			Bilbray	English	Kim
Ford	Minge	Wise	When Mr. EWING, Chairman, pursu-			Bilirakis Bliley	Ensign Everett	King Kingston
Frank (MA)	Mink	Woolsey	ant to House Resolution 227, reported			Blute	Ewing	Klug
Frost Furse	Moakley Mollohan	Wyden Wynn	the bill back to the House with an			Boehlert	Fawell	Knollenberg
Gejdenson	Moran	Yates			he Committee.	Boehner	Fields (TX)	Kolbe
-	NOES—248				having been	Bonilla Bono	Flanagan Foley	LaHood Largent
4.11		P 11.1		said resolution		Brewster	Forbes	Latham
Allard Andrews	Camp Canady	English Ensign			nent, reported	Brownback	Fowler	LaTourette
Archer	Castle	Everett				Bryant (TN) Bunn	Fox Franks (CT)	Laughlin Lazio
Armey	Chabot	Ewing	from the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union, was			Bunning	Franks (NJ)	Leach
Bachus	Chambliss	Fawell	agreed to:			Burr	Frelinghuysen	Lewis (CA)
Baesler Baker (CA)	Chenoweth Christensen	Fields (TX) Flanagan	0	.11 . 6		Burton	Frisa	Lewis (KY)
Baker (LA)	Chrysler	Foley	insert:	all after the ena	cting clause and	Buyer Callahan	Funderburk Gallegly	Lightfoot Linder
Ballenger	Clinger	Forbes				Calvert	Ganske	Lipinski
Barr Barrett (NE)	Coble Coburn	Fowler Fox			FOR CERTAIN IN-	Camp	Gekas	Livingston
Bartlett	Collins (GA)	Franks (CT)		NCTIONS.		Canady Castle	Geren Gilchrest	LoBiondo
Barton	Combest	Franks (NJ)			nterlocutory or raining the en-	Chabot	Gillmor	Longley Lucas
Bass	Condit	Frelinghuysen				Chambliss	Gilman	Luther
Bereuter Bilbray	Cooley Cox	Frisa Funderburk	forcement, operation, or execution of a State law adopted by referendum shall not be			Chapman	Goodlatte	Manzullo
Bilirakis	Crane	Gallegly			district court or	Chenoweth Christensen	Goodling Gordon	Martini McCollum
Bliley	Crapo	Ganske			nd of the uncon-	Chrysler	Gordon	McCrery
Blute	Cremeans	Gekas	stitutionality of such State law unless the			Clement	Graham	McDade
Boehlert Boehner	Cubin Cunningham	Geren Gilchrest	application for the injunction is heard and			Clinger	Green	McHugh
Bonilla	Davis	Gillmor	determined by a court of 3 judges in accord-			Coble Coburn	Greenwood Gunderson	McInnis McIntosh
Bono	Deal	Gilman	ance with section 2284 of title 28, United States Code. Any appeal of a determination			Collins (GA)	Gutknecht	McKeon
Brewster Brownback	DeLay Diaz-Balart	Goodlatte Goodling			to the Supreme	Combest	Hall (TX)	McNulty
Bryant (TN)	Diaz-Baiart Dickey	Gordon			this section ap-	Condit Cooley	Hancock Hansen	Metcalf
Bunn	Doolittle	Goss			who will serve on	Cooley Costello	Hansen Hastert	Meyers Mica
Bunning	Dornan	Graham			lesignated under	Cox	Hastings (WA)	Miller (CA)
Burr Burton	Dreier Dunn	Greenwood Gunderson	section 2284(h	o)(1) of title 28	3, United States	Cramer	Hayes	Miller (FL)
Buyer	Ehlere	Cutknecht	Code, as soor	n as practicable	e, and the court	Crane	Hayworth	Minge

the 3-judge court shall be designated under section 2284(b)(1) of title 28, United States Code, as soon as practicable, and the court

shall expedite the consideration of the appli-

cation for an injunction.

Hayes Hayworth Hefley Heineman

Crapo Cremeans

Minge Molinari

Montgomery

Gutknecht Hall (TX)

Hancock

Ehlers Ehrlich

Buyer Callahan

Calvert